Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplem	iental			
LRB	Number	05-2016/1		Introd	duction Num	ber SI	B-89				
Subjec	et	,									
The ab	ility of counti	es and municip	alities to elim	ninate nonconf	forming buildings	or premise	es				
Fiscal Effect											
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	to abs		- May be p agency's [
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive⊡Mandato	3. ☐ Incre ory ☐ Perm 4. ☐ Decr	ease Revenue nissive	ndatory \bigsize To	nment U <u>ni</u> t	its Affected Village Others WTCS Districts	d ⊠ Cities			
Fund \$	Sources Affe PR 🔲 FED		PRS 🔲	SEG 🔲 SE	Affected Ch	ı. 20 Appr	opriations	s			
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized S	Signature		D	ate			
DOA/ Dick Wagner (608) 266-0653				Martha Kerner (608) 266-1359				20/2005			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 3/21/2005

LRB Number 05-2016/1	Introduction Number	SB-89	Estimate Type	Original							
Subject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.5.									
The ability of counties and municipalities to eliminate nonconforming buildings or premises											

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

There appears to be no known data regarding the number of conforming or nonconfroming structures or fixtures in relation to the provisions of the bill, nor does there appear to be data regarding their values. Consequently, the fiscal impact of prohibiting a municipality or county from requiring the removal of nonconforming structures or fixtures by an amoritization ordinance is indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.